May 8, 2017

Secretary of State Tillerson
U.S. Department of State
2201 C Street, NW
Washington, DC 20520

RE: U.S. Engagement with the Inter-American Human Rights Commission

Dear Secretary Tillerson:

The undersigned civil and human rights organizations, scholars, and advocates practicing in the United States write to urge this Administration to prioritize U.S. leadership on human rights in the Americas, including within the United States. This letter focuses specifically on the importance of U.S. support for, and engagement with, the Inter-American Commission on Human Rights (IACHR) and the Organization of American States (OAS).

As a founding member of the OAS, the United States has long been a participant in a system that has advanced core OAS Charter principles, including democracy, human rights, security, rule of law, and development for all the peoples of the Americas. The United States has also been a strong supporter of the independence and autonomy of the Inter-American Commission.

We are thus dismayed by the failure of the United States to participate in the March 21, 2017 hearings convened by the Inter-American Commission in Washington, D.C., and the damaging message this sent about the United States’ commitment to human rights throughout the Hemisphere, and here in the United States. We urge the United States to adopt a policy of robust and active engagement with the Commission, the key mechanism for human rights promotion and protection within the OAS.

While the United States has not ratified the American Convention on Human Rights, it has pursued a policy of constructive engagement with the IACHR by responding to petitions, appearing for hearings related to the U.S.’ own human rights record, and facilitating fact-finding visits by the Commission. And while the United States has not always lived up to its own human rights commitments, its engagement with the Commission was critical to strengthening human rights at home, as well as the U.S. promotion of human rights across the region. Throughout many years of continued IACHR engagement, U.S. civil society groups and human rights advocates have applauded and valued U.S. participation. The willingness of the federal government to engage in dialogue with advocates and IACHR Commissioners has signaled to
the U.S. public, as well as to advocates and governments throughout the Americas, that the U.S. takes the Commission, and its own regional human rights commitments, seriously.¹

U.S. participation in Commission proceedings has long bolstered U.S. legitimacy as a credible voice on human rights. In contrast, the recent decision not to participate at the hearings has suggested that the United States may be turning its back on the Americas, and the Commission, at this pivotal moment in the region.² While we acknowledge the State Department’s formal statement that the U.S. decision not to participate was premised on the existence of ongoing litigation, we respectfully voice our disagreement both factually and on principle. Only the President’s Executive Order on Protecting the Nation from Foreign Terrorist Entry into the United States (better known as the Muslim and refugee ban), addressed during one of the three hearings, was the subject of active litigation. Furthermore, ongoing litigation has not precluded U.S. participation in hearings before the Commission in the past, nor should it in the future. The U.S. should participate in hearings, and if appropriate, government representatives can decline to respond to specific questions that directly implicate pending litigation. By choosing to be absent during the most recent period of sessions, the U.S. government missed an opportunity to engage with civil society and the Commission on issues of pressing concern to millions of people in the United States, and to the international community, and to publicly defend its human rights record and policy prerogatives.

The opportunity still remains for the U.S. to demonstrate regional human rights leadership in the first months of this Administration. On the same day the United States failed to appear, State Department Representative Mark Toner said that the United States has “tremendous respect for the role performed by the IACHR in safeguarding human rights and fundamental freedoms throughout the hemisphere, including the United States.”³ This statement demonstrates good intentions. Indeed, the Commission provides a valuable and constructive space for the government and civil society to address human rights and fundamental freedoms in the United States, through the Commission’s thematic hearings, the petition process, and site visits. By taking a seat at the table, engaging with civil society, and confronting critical and challenging human rights issues head on, the United States has modeled the democratic principles of civic engagement for countries across the region, and the globe.

We urge this Administration to demonstrate regional leadership through constructive and meaningful participation in Inter-American proceedings, as well as at the OAS, building upon the work of past administrations, Republican and Democrat. If the U.S. steps away from its support of the Commission and the OAS – in word and in deed – it sets a dangerous precedent for other governments, and threatens to weaken critical regional institutions, as well as the U.S. role within them. We join with others who have cautioned “against an isolationist policy of

¹ Historically, U.S. advocates have disagreed with many of legal interpretations, factual assertions and political positions put forth by the government before the Commission, but have continued to appreciate robust dialogue as an essential component of democratic governance, and the realization of human rights principles.


disengagement with regional and international human rights bodies,” and emphasized that “this tactic is characteristic of authoritarian regimes, not of democratic nations committed to universal human rights.” Regional human rights leadership, including through participation in the Inter-American System and the OAS, is vital to securing stability in countries such as Venezuela, who just announced withdrawal from the OAS last week.

We have already seen this Administration participate in United Nations proceedings, and express positions on pivotal global issues, including at the April 18th U.N. Security Council session on human rights. It is also imperative that the U.S. serves as a supportive voice on human rights within the OAS.

Leadership on human rights requires action. We urge this Administration to make a public statement that clearly and emphatically expresses its support for the Inter-American Commission as an independent and autonomous institution dedicated to advancing human rights. Such a statement from the highest level of the State Department would signal the United States’ ongoing commitment to human rights. But, as you know, words alone are not enough. To make good on a commitment to human rights, the United States must offer ongoing support for the IACHR. This includes both funding the Commission at levels adequate to fulfill its mandate. It also requires constructive participation in hearings, cases, site visits, and the implementation of Commission recommendations to ultimately bring U.S. policies in line with international and regional human rights commitments. Indeed, connecting human rights engagement with domestic policies is essential to advancing human rights at home, and serving as a credible and legitimate voice abroad. The June OAS General Assembly and the upcoming fall period of sessions are clear opportunities for the United States to participate and demonstrate human rights leadership.

We look forward to the opportunity to work with you to strengthen the IACHR and advance these goals, and we welcome the chance to discuss these recommendations through a formal consultation or other means. Indeed, a meeting with U.S. civil society leaders committed to advancing human rights in the region would be a welcome step towards constructive engagement with the Commission and the OAS.

Sincerely,

ORGANIZATIONS

American Civil Liberties Union
Albuquerque Center for Peace and Justice
CEJIL
Center for Constitutional Rights (CCR)
Center for Gender & Refugee Studies, UC Hastings College of Law
Center for Reproductive Rights (CRR)
Columbia Law School Human Rights Institute

---

4 See April 5, 2017 letter to Secretary of State Rex Tillerson from participants in the March 21, 2017 hearings related to the United States
Disability Rights International
Equality Now
Four Freedoms Forum
Global Justice Center
Human Rights Advocates
Human Rights Educators USA
Indian Law Resource Center
interACT: Advocates for Intersex Youth
International Center for Advocates Against Discrimination (ICAAD)
International Corporate Accountability Roundtable (ICAR)
International Human Rights Clinic, Loyola Law School, Los Angeles
International Justice Resource Center
Justice in Motion
National Law Center on Homelessness and Poverty
New Mexico Environmental Law Center
Program on Human Rights and the Global Economy, Northeastern University School of Law
Robert F Kennedy Human Rights
Santa Clara Law, International Human Rights Clinic
Sanctuary for Families
United Nations Association of the United States of America (UNA-USA)
United States International Council on Disabilities
US Human Rights Network
Women Enabled International

INDIVIDUALS* (Organizational affiliations listed for identification purposes only)

Philip Althouse, Attorney & Member, International Committee of the NLG
Thomas Antkowiak, Associate Professor of Law & Director, International Human Rights Clinic, Seattle University School of Law
Caroline Bettinger-López, Professor of Clinical Legal Education & Director, Human Rights Clinic, University of Miami School of Law
Jorge Contesse, Assistant Professor, Rutgers Law School
Connie de la Vega, Professor of Law, University of San Francisco
Ariel Dulitzky, Clinic Professor & Director, Human Rights Clinic, University of Texas at Austin, School of Law
Margaret Drew, Professor, UMass Law
Dabney P. Evans, PhD, MPH, Institute of Human Rights, Emory University
Claudia Flores, Assistant Clinical Professor of Law & Director, International Human Rights Clinic, University of Chicago Law School
Mary Gerisch, Board Member, Rights and Democracy & Vermont Workers' Center
Alejandra Gonza, Director, International Human Rights Clinic, University of Washington School of Law
Peter Halewood, Professor of Law, Albany Law School
Deena R. Hurwitz, Esq.
Rachel E. Lopez, Associate Professor of Law & Director, Community Lawyering Clinic, Drexel University Thomas R. Kline School of Law
Rita Maran, PhD, UNA-USA National Council & City of Berkeley Peace & Justice Commission
Helena Olea, Adjunct Professor, Criminology Law and Justice, University of Illinois at Chicago
Sarah Paoletti, Practice Professor of Law & Director, Transnational Legal Clinic, University of Pennsylvania School of Law
Dianne Post, Attorney & Central Arizona National Lawyers Guild Coordinator
Corey Prachniak-Rincón, Sinclair Kennedy Fellow, Harvard University
Paula Rhodes, International Human Rights Educator, Activist & Attorney
Gabor Rona, Visiting Professor of Law, Cardozo Law School
Deborah M. Weissman, Reef C. Ivey II Distinguished Professor of Law, School of Law, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill

cc (via email):

James Bischoff, Attorney-Advisor, Office of the Legal Adviser, Office of Human Rights and Refugees, U.S. Department of State
Scott Busby, Deputy Assistant Secretary Bureau of Democracy, Human Rights and Labor, U.S. State Department
Jeff Kovar, Assistant Legal Adviser for Western Hemisphere Affairs, U.S. Department of State
Rachel Owen, Alternative Representative, U.S. Permanent Mission to the OAS
Andrew Stevenson, Alternative Representative, U.S. Permanent Mission to the OAS